Research data management (RDM) specialists in academic libraries may routinely encounter software during the course of helping researchers with their data management needs. Often, the software is treated in the same way as data for the purpose of archiving it in a data repository and preserving it for future use.

Here, a set of tools, resources, approaches, and questions are presented which allow RDM specialists to address potential knowledge gaps in providing software archiving and/or preservation services as well as to read up, to consider new approaches. This is not a specialist by posing questions and “Need To” and following the relevant branch.
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When assigning credit, ideally anyone who contributed to development should be listed as contributor (e.g., programmers, testers, documenters, bug reporters, managers, etc.). Large groups, the list can become long as a collective group, e.g., the “development team” may be more appropriate.

If releasing software, selecting an appropriate license is very important as it communicates the term under which the software can be used. If no license is released, standard copyright applies, meaning software cannot legally be used or reproduced without the permission of the author.
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